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## RESUMEN

Un estudio paleomagnético de 113 muestras orientadas y colectadas de 15 localidades en la Sierra de Chichinautzin, Valle de México, demuestra solamente direcciones normales de magnetización. La posición polar calculada ( $86.7^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 239.4^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, \propto_{95} 7.2^{\circ}$ ) es consistente con las posiciones polares cuaternarias de México, excepto con el polo cuaternario obtenido para Baja California.


#### Abstract

A paleomagnetic study of 113 oriented samples collected from 15 localities in the Sierra de Chichinautzin, Valley of Mexico, shows solely normal directions of magnetization. The calculated pole position $\left(86.7^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 239.4^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, \propto_{95} 7.2^{\circ}\right.$ ) is consistent with Quaternary pole positions from Mexico except with one Quaternary pole obtained for Baja Californis. *Instituto de Geofísica, UNAM.


## INTRODUCTION

Even today very limited amount of information is available on paleomagnetic directions and pole positions from Mexican rocks. These have been summarized by Pal and Urrutia (1977) and Pal (1978). Any new results on Mexican rocks should therefore prove useful to the scientific community. The present study reports results of a paleomagnetic investigation carried out in the Sierra de Chichinautzin at the southern end of the Valley of Mexico.

## SAMPLING AND MEASUREMENTS

The Sierra de Chichinautzin is located in a major volcanic feature called the Mexican Volcanic Belt (MVB). The details of the MVB have been given by Mooser (1972). The Chichinautzin group forms the southern part of the basin of Mexico (Fig. 1). This eruptive phase is considered to be of short duration of Upper Pliocene to Holocene age which finally closed the basin to the south (Mooser et al., 1974). No radiometric dates are available. The Chichinautzin range itself is overwhelmingly formed by younger cinder cones and lava flows, morphologically very fresh and all assigned to the younger Quaternary. Mooser et al. (1974) have given magnetization directions for samples collected from 40 different sites. They find all the flows (except sites 39 and 40) to be normally magnetized from which they conclude that it is reasonable to assign them an age of less the 0.69 m.y. Site 39 (Xicalco SE; old, much altered andesite) gives a shallow negative inclination ( $-8^{\circ}$ ) but a nearly normal declination of $38^{\circ}$; thus showing an inclined magnetization and not a reversal. Site 40 (Ajusco NE Oligocene-Miocene complex) on the other hand shows high $\propto_{95}$ of $70^{\circ}$. However an Oligocene-Miocene age has been assigned to this site, perhaps on geological grounds, by Mooser et al., 1974.

An attempt was made in the present study to sample several different sites on the same Chichinautzin group in order to gather more paleomag-
netic data and to see whether all these rocks show normal directions of magnetization.

Location of the sampling sites is given in Table 1. 113 oriented samples ( 2.54 cm . diameter) were collected using a core-drill and one or more specimens of length 2.54 cm . were cut from each core for paleomagnetic measurements. The direction and intensity of remanent magnetization of each specimen were measured using a commercial spinner magnetometer (PAR-SM2), and their stability was tested using an alternating field demagnetization apparatus (Carrillo et al., 1978). Typical a.c. demagnetization curves as well as changes in the direction of remanent magnetization are shown in Fig. 2. The NRM intensities of the specimens are distributed between $3.55 \times 10^{-4}$ and $9.15 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{emu} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$. Thin sections were prepared for two cores of each site for microscopic study and the results are given in Appendix I. Mean directions of remanent magnetization were computed using Fisher statistics (Fisher, 1953).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the present study are given in Table 1. The mean directions of magnetization obtained from different sites are plotted in Fig. 3, which shows that the directions are scattered around the axial dipole field. Virtual geomagnetic poles for the fifteen sites are also given in Table 1 and plotted in Fig. 4. It can be seen that the directions of remanent magnetization are all of normal polarity. Unfortunately it is not possible to determine estratigraphic relationship of these volcanic units because of the complex geology of the area and the lack of radiometric age data. This makes it difficult to utilize the present data for computing the amount of secular variation of the geomagnetic field during the time span covered by the present sampling.

The problem has been discussed in detail by McEIhinny and Merrill (1975) who state that a long-standing difficulty in the application of conventional statistical techiques to paleosecular variation sudies involves the problem of whether or not the data are serially correlated. The data employed should ideally be drawn from a random population. Wat-
son and Beran (1967) have produced a method for the detection of serially correlated results where a comparison is made of the sum of the cosines of the angles between directions from successive lavas with sums resulting from random combinations of the same data. The amount by which the former exceeds the later sum is a measure of the amount of serial correlation.

McElhinny and Merril (1975) have argued that the data from a sequence of lava flows may appear to be serially correlated because a longperiod change in secular variation exists, from which they conclude that the application of the Watson and Beran (1967) technique may be counter-productive in terms of searching for paleomagnetic information on secular variation.

As rightly pointed out by Watkins et al. (1977) a second difficulty in secular variation analysis of paleomagnetic data concerns the rejection of results which may represent anomalous geomagnetic field behaviour, such as transitions between opposite polarities, or excursions of the geomagnetic field. As summarized by McElhinny \& Merril (1975), various authors have employed data cutoffs based on VGP latitudes raning from 40 to $45^{\circ}$, below which the results are assumed to represent anomalous behaviour. In certain cases especially when data volumes are limited, the cutoff choice can drastically affect computed $S_{F}$ values.

A third decision which is necessary in computation of $S_{F}$ is selection of the reference pole used to compute the total angular standard deviation ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}$ ) of the measured VGP's. This may be the mean VGP for the data set. Alternatively, since little evidence exists to suggest that the Earth's geographic pole position has changed significantly since the uper Miocene, the reference pole for the data can as well be the present geographic pole.

The mean pole position using the cleaned data of all the sites is $87^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$, $239^{\circ} \mathrm{E}\left(\mathrm{n}=15, \mathrm{dp}=5^{\circ}, \mathrm{dm}=8^{\circ}\right)$. This pole position is consistent with the pole position ( $85^{\circ} \mathrm{N}, 76^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, \mathrm{n}=49, \mathrm{dp}=3^{\circ}, \mathrm{dm}=6^{\circ}$ ) calculated from the data on Sierra de Chichinautzin given by Mooser et al. (1974). These poles are also in agreement with other Quaternary pole positions on Mexico except the pole from Baja Califormia (Pal, 1978).

The conclusion by Mooser et al. (1974) that the Sierra de Chichinautzin is a young volcanic group seems to be valid in the light of the new data. Perhaps if one takes into account very fresh morphology of the area, one can conclude that the Chinchinautzin group is much younger then $0.69 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{y}$. but this cannot be ascertained from the paleomagnetic data, as there is no way to subdivide the normal Brunhes interval. No evidence has been found (not even accidentally) which could throw light on the recent 'Las Champ' event.
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Table 1
Summary of paleomagnetic data of igneous rocks from Sierra de Chichinautzin, Mexico.

| Site | Location |  | Cleaned remanent magnetization |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { a.c. } \\ & \text { field } \\ & (O e) \end{aligned}$ | Polar coordinates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1at: N | 10ng: W | N | R | D | I | K | $\alpha_{95}$ | $\delta$ |  | 1at:N | 1ong:E | dp | dm |
| 1 | 19.1 | 99.1 | 8 | 7.904 | 357.6 | 26.7 | 72.7 | 6.5 | 8.9 | 550 | 84.5 | 253.9 | 3.9 | 7.1 |
| 2 | 19.0 | 98.8 | 14 | 13.937 | 6.1 | 12.9 | 205.4 | 2.8 | 5.5 | 200 | 76.2 | 304.9 | 1.4 | 2.8 |
| 3 | 19.1 | 98.8 | 12 | 11.981 | 330.3 | 46.6 | 576.7 | 1.8 | 3.2 | 150 | 61.5 | 165.4 | 1.5 | 2.3 |
| 4 | 19.02 | 99.03 | 9 | 8.950 | 342.7 | 11.6 | 160.2 | 4.1 | 6.0 | 200 | 68.6 | 224.9 | 2.1 | 4.1 |
| 5 | 19.2 | 99.02 | 11 | 10.915 | 345.4 | 18.6 | 118.0 | 4.2 | 7.1 | 200 | 72.9 | 221.4 | 2.3 | 4.4 |
| 6 | 19.3 | 99.2 | 17 | 16.947 | 358.0 | 34.3 | 300.9 | 2.1 | 4.5 | 100 | 88.0 | 202.7 | 1.4 | 2.4 |
| 7 | 19.3 | 99.2 | 11 | 10.884 | 354.6 | 33.7 | 86.0 | 5.0 | 8.3 | 250 | 84.8 | 197.6 | 3.2 | 5.7 |
| 8 | 19.2 | 99.2 | 9 | 8.843 | 7.2 | 33.4 | 51.0 | 7.3 | 10.7 | 250 | 83.1 | 2.6 | 4.7 | 8.3 |
| 9 | 19.2 | 99.2 | 8 | 7.939 | 4.5 | 27.1 | 115.0 | 5.2 | 7.1 | 550 | 83.5 | 321.2 | 3.1 | 5.6 |
| 10 | 19.2 | 99.2 | 9 | 8.932 | 6.0 | 34.9 | 118.1 | 4.8 | 7.0 | 150 | 84.3 | 10.4 | 3.2 | 5.5 |
| 11 | 19.3 | 99.2 | 12 | 11.952 | 16.1 | 36.1 | 229.7 | 2.9 | 5.1 | 100 | 74.8 | 14.6 | 1.9 | 3.3 |
| 12 | 19.1 | 99.2 | 13 | 12.431 | 6.4 | 15.9 | 21.1 | 9.2 | 17.0 | 550 | 77.4 | 309.6 | 4.9 | 9.5 |
| 13 | 19.3 | 99.2 | 8 | 7.938 | 355.1 | 38.9 | 113.5 | 5.2 | 7.1 | 0 | 84.7 | 158.5 | 3.7 | 6.2 |
| 14 | 19.3 | 99.2 | 8 | 7.954 | 356.8 | 52.3 | 151.2 | 4.5 | 6.2 | 0 | 76.1 | 110.6 | 4.3 | 6.2 |
| 15 | 19.3 | 99.2 | 7 | 6.903 | 356.1 | 33.6 | 62.1 | 7.7 | 9.5 | 0 | 86.2 | 202.3 | 5.0 | 8.8 |
| Mean | 19.2 | 99.1 | 15 | 14.513 | 357.8 | 30.9 | 28.8 | 7.2 | 14.6 |  | 86.7 | 239.4 | 4.5 | 8.1 |
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## APPENDIX I

## PETROGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION OFTHE SAMPILES

Macroscopic description: rocks of extrusive (voleanic) origin, massive porphyritic, vesicular, cryptocrystalline, finegrained matrix, olivine and calcic-plagioclase phenocrysts, "melanochratie" dark grey color.
Microscopic description: A general description is given below.
Texture porphyritic, hipidiomorphic (most crystals are subhedral). Mineralogic composition includes $\sim 70 \%$ plagioclase ( $0.2-0.3 \mathrm{~mm}$ dia. andesine - labradorite - bytonite); $\sim 10 \%$ olivine (olive green color, transluscent, phenocrysts with impregnation of magnetic) and $\sim 1 \%$ iddingsite (brick red color, transluscent).

Among accesory minerals, one finds clinopyroxenes (light green, transluscent, sometimes pleochroism in hipidiomorphic crystals). Augite ( $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter about $5 \%$ in abundance) pigeonite (augite-enstatite of $0.1-0.2 \mathrm{~mm}$. dia. up to about $3 \%$ ), magnetite (opaque, black, isolated erystals or sometimes in clusters, abundance about $5 \%$ ) and ilmenite (opaque, black, in the form of bands or clusters, $\sim 4 \%$ abundance are present. As a secondary mineral, hematite (opaque red, in the form of spots or clusters, $\sim 3 \%$ ) can be seen.

The matrix is composed of calcic and sodic plagioclase and a small proportion ( $\sim 10 \%$ ) of basic glass.

The classification of the rocks studied in this work is given in tabular form.


| Site | Location | Geograp coordina |  | ```Thin section from Specimen vum.``` | Total Sum. of samples/ specimens | Classification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $12$ | Parres, E1 <br> Guarda. Fed. <br> road to <br> Cuernavaca | $\begin{aligned} & 19.1 \\ & 99.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & N \\ & N \end{aligned}$ | $71 \& 75$ | $8 / 13$ | Vesicular olivine (augite) basaltic andesite |
| 13 | Ciudad Universitaria. Cerro del Agua Av. | $\begin{aligned} & 19.3 \\ & 99.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \because \\ & i \end{aligned}$ | $44 i 43$ | 8/8 | Olivine basalt |
| 14 | Ciulad Universitaria. In front of the Fac.de Leyes | $\begin{aligned} & 19.3 \\ & 99.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{N} \\ & \mathrm{~W} \end{aligned}$ |  | 6/8 | Olivine casalt |
| $15$ | ©iudad Universitaria. In front of the Fac. do Leyes | $\begin{aligned} & 19.3 \\ & 99.2 \end{aligned}$ | $\because$ |  | 5/7 | Otiviac basalt |



FIG.I Location of the study area (The map is after Mooser, 1972)



FIG. 2 Typical demagnetization curves of the remanent magnetization.
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FIG. 3 Mean directions of cleaned remanent magnetization obtained for the different sites (numbered after table l)


FIG. 4 Virtual geomagnetic pole positions for the fifteen sites (Table I) and also the mean pole position (M) from these sites.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

CARRILLO, C. R., O. LOZANO and S. PAL, 1978. Some new aspects of an alternanting current demagnetization apparatus. Rev. Sci. Instrum. (in press).
FISHER, R. A., 1953. Dispersion on a sphere. Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. Ser. A, 217: 295-305.
McELHINNY, M. W. and R. T. MERRILL, 1975. Geomagnetic secular variation over the past 5 m.y. Rev. Geophys. Space Phys., 13: 687-708.
MOOSER, F., 1972. The Mexican Volcanic Belt: Structure and Tectonics, Geoftsica Internacional, 12: 55-70.
MOOSER, F., A. E. M. NAIRN and J. F. W. NEGENDANK, 1974. Paleomagnetic investigation of the Tertiary and Quatermary igneous rocks: VIII A paleomagnetic and petrologic study of volcanics of the Valley of Mexico. Geol. Rundschau, 63: 451-483.
PAL, S. 1978. A survey of paleomagnetic data on Mexico (submitted to J. Phys. Earth).
PAL, S. and J. URRUTIA F., 1977. Paleomagnetism, geochronology and geochemistry of some igneous rocks from Mexico and their tectonic implications. Proc. IV Int. Gondwana Symp., Calcutta, India (in press).
WATKINS, N. D., I. McDOUGALL and L. KRISTJANSSON, 1977. Upper Miocene and Pliocene geomagnetic secular variation in the Borgarfördur area of Western Iceland. Geophys. J.R. Astr. Soc., 49, 609-632.
WATSON, G. S. and R. J. BERAN, 1967. Testing a sequence of unit vector for serial correlation. J. Geophys. Res., 72: 5655-5659.


[^0]:     $K=$ precision parameter; $\alpha_{95}=$ semiangle of the cone of $95 \%$ confidence about the mean direction; $\delta=$ angular standard deviation of directions from the mean direction; a.c.field = demagnetizing
     confidence level.

