

## Ionospheric response to Huge Gas Explosion in Kaohsiung City, Taiwan, on July 31, 2014

Jyh-Woei Lin

Received: September 07, 2016; accepted: November 14, 2017; published on line: January 01, 2018

### Resumen

En este trabajo se utilizó el Análisis de Componente Principal Bidimensional (2DPCA) para determinar la anomalía de contenido total de electrones (TEC) utilizando el eigenvalor principal de 2DPCA como indicador matemático en la respuesta de la ionósfera a la gran explosión de gas ocurrida en la ciudad de Kaohsiung (Taiwan) a las 15:59 (TU) del 31 de julio de 2014. 2DPCA provee una cuidadosa descripción del manejo de los datos. Los resultados mostraron que se observa una anomalía TEC sobre Taiwan de las 16:00 a las 16:05 (TU). Las ondas de choque acústicas causaron una anomalía TEC detectable sin considerar el estatus atmosférico y la transmisión de las ondas acústicas TEC fue de, por lo menos, 5 minutos.

Palabras clave: Análisis bidimensional de componente principal (2DPCA), contenido total de electrones (TEC), gran explosión de gas en la ciudad de Kaohsiung, ondas de choque acústicas.

### Abstract

In this paper the two-dimensional Principal Component Analysis (2DPCA) was used to determine the total electron content (TEC) anomaly using the principal eigenvalue of 2DPCA as a mathematical indicator in the ionospheric response to the huge gas explosion in Kaohsiung city (22°36'39"N 120°19'8"E), Taiwan at 15: 59 (UT) on July 31, 2014. 2DPCA has been shown to be sensitive to detect weak TEC anomalies. 2DPCA gives a careful data handling description. Results have shown that a TEC anomaly over Taiwan was observed from 16:00 to 16:05 (UT). The acoustic shock waves have caused a TEC anomaly detectable without considering the atmosphere situation and traveling of acoustic shock waves during this explosion. The duration time of the TEC anomaly was at least 5 minutes.

Key words: Two-dimensional principal component analysis (2DPCA), total electron content (TEC), huge gas explosion, Kaohsiung City, acoustic shock waves.

---

Jyh-Woei Lin  
Department of Electrical Engineering  
Southern Taiwan University of Science and Technology  
No. 1, Nan-Tai Street, Yung Kang Dist.  
Tainan City, Taiwan,  
*\*Corresponding author: pgjwl1966@gmail.com*

## Introduction

One of the potential area of application of this paper correspond to explosion related ionospheric anomalies. Jones and Spracklen (1974) found an explosion occurred on first of, June, 1974, at the Nypro plant at Flixborough, Lincolnshire, that produced a blast wave which crossed the atmosphere and penetrated into the ionosphere. Blanc and Jacobson (1989) described ionospheric anomalies as caused through the acoustic shock wave produced by a 5-kt chemical explosion. Andreeva *et al.* (2001) work used the ionosphere radiotomography method to detect the long-lived local disturbances of the ionospheric density over the site of ground industrial explosions. Calais *et al.* (1998) found an ionospheric signature of surface mine blasts from Global Positioning System (GPS) receivers. The perturbation started 10 to 15 min after the blast, and lasted for about 30 minutes. The goal of this paper is to use Two-Dimensional Principal Component Analysis (2DPCA) to examine the ionospheric spatial distribution of any driven TEC anomaly associated with huge gas explosion in Kaohsiung (22°36'39"N 120°19'8"E), Taiwan at 15: 59 (UT) on July 31, 2014, without considering the atmosphere environment during this explosion but only the two-dimensional Total Electron Content (TEC) data. The sample time interval chosen was 16:00 to 16:10 (UT). The two-dimensional TEC data are derived from NASA Global Differential GPS system (GDGPS) and the global TEC maps (GIMs) are derived using TEC data from ~100 real-time GDGPS tracking sites with a time resolution of 5 minutes (Kechine *et al.*, 2004; Ouyang *et al.*, 2008).

## Method

### 2DPCA

2DPCA signals are represented by a matrix  $A$  ( $n \times m$  dimension). Linear projection of the form is considered as follows (Sanguansat, 2012),

$$y = Ax \quad (1)$$

where  $x$  is an  $n$  dimensional projection axis and  $y$  is the projected feature of signals on  $x$ , called principal component vector.

$$S_x = E(y - Ey)(y - Ey)^T \quad (2)$$

Here  $S_x$  is the covariance matrix of the projected feature vector.

The trace of  $S_x$  is defined as:


$$J(x) = tr(S_x) \quad (3)$$

$$tr(S_x) = tr\{x^T G x\}, \text{ where } G = E[(AEA)(AEA)] \quad (4)$$

matrix  $G$  is the signal covariance matrix. The vector  $x$  maximizing Eq.4 corresponds to the principal (largest) eigenvalue of  $G$ , and let the principal eigenvalue to be the most dominant component of the data, therefore the principal eigenvalue is a mathematical indicator representing the principal (main) characteristics of the data. Small signal size (SSS) problem (sparse data in a matrix) of two dimensional TEC data can be removed by 2DPCA (Sanguansat, 2012). The Principal Component Analysis (PCA), converts the measurements into one-dimensional data before covariance matrix calculation. The covariance matrix of PCA is based on an input matrix with  $m \times n$  dimension, of which is reshaped from one-dimensional data (length of  $m$  multiplying  $n$ ). Reshaping data will cause a computing error since PCA is a tool to deal with one-dimensional data. It means that the spatial structure and information can not be well preserved due to some original information loss when inverting to original dimension under the condition of the matrix being small sample size (SSS). Such information loss is called SSS problem. However, the covariance matrix in 2DPCA is full rank for a matrix of sparse data. Therefore the curse of dimensionality and the SSS problem (sparse data in a matrix) can be avoided (Sanguansat, 2012).

## TEC Data Processing

The global TEC data prior to the explosion occurred at 15:55 (UT) on July 31, 2014, were examined to detect explosion-related TEC anomaly and GIMs are only used to observe TEC situation in this study. However the TEC anomaly is detectable in the time interval 16:00 to 16:10 (UTC) by 2DPCA and therefore the procedure of TEC data processing in the time interval is shown. Figure 1(a) shows the GIMs during the time from 16:00 to 16:10 on July 31, 2014. For GIMs in Figure 1(a), each GIM includes global coverage completely, which is divided into 600 small grids with respective size of 12° in longitude and 9° in latitude, respectively. The global TEC data are divided into 600 grids with respective size of 12° in longitude and 9° in latitude, respectively. The spatial resolution of the global TEC data for the GDGPS system is 5 and 2.5 degrees in longitude and latitude, respectively (Hernández-Pajares *et al.*, 2009). Therefore 4 TEC data, which belong to two-dimensional data, are enough to take into a grid. The 4 TEC data in each grid are formed as a matrix  $A$  of Eq.1 with  $2 \times 2$  dimensions, which is a small sample signal size



**Figure 1a.** These figures show GIMs during the time from 16:00 to 16:10 (UT) on July 31, 2014. The geographic coordinates of Kaohsiung are (22°36'39"N 120°19'8"E) (TEC data Source: NASA Global Differential GPS system). They are different from the TEC data source of Lin (2011). They were extracted from FORMOSAT-3 satellite system (Belongs to Taiwan) with low spatial and time resolutions at that time.

(SSS) in each grid. This allows for 600 principal eigenvalues to be computed for each of the 600 smaller grids.

## Results

The large principal eigenvalues were shown to have relations to the earthquakes (Lin 2010; 2011). The explosions cause ground vibrations that are similar to the effects of the earthquakes and the volcanic explosions (Lin, 2013), causing acoustic shock waves and the large principal eigenvalues were found to represent volcanic explosions. Results have shown the explosion generated TEC anomaly that was represented by large principal eigenvalues. The large principal eigenvalue can indicate a TEC anomaly. However large principal eigenvalue could not show the actual time variations of TEC and their anomalies from clear reference time variations because a principal eigenvalue donates a mathematical indicator for the principal characteristics of the data. Therefore the large principal eigenvalues in Figs 1(b) show the existence of explosion-related TEC anomaly represented by a large principal eigenvalue from 16:00 to 16:05 on July 31, 2014, with a duration time of about 5 minutes. The possibility of other factors such as solar flare and geomagnetic effects affecting the results are considered by examining Kp and Dst indices (Fares Saba *et al.*, 1997) and shown in Figure 2(a) (b) that reveals that July 31, was a geomagnetic quiet day. The region of TEC anomaly may be due to ionospheric disturbances with very quick horizontal spreading.


## Discussion

2DPCA was able to detect TEC anomaly detectable by 2DPCA from 16:00 to 16:05 UT on July 31, 2014, over Kaohsiung City and Taiwan. The most evident physical mechanism was acoustic shockwave waves (Blanc and Jacobson, 1989) creating large scale ionospheric density irregularities and traveling up into the ionosphere. The duration time of TEC anomaly was estimated at least 5 minutes. The speed of acoustic shock waves was difficult to estimate since only the large principal eigenvalue was shown as TEC anomaly. However the 2DPCA could not estimate the time from the beginning of the explosion to the beginning of TEC disturbance. Moreover the behavior of plasma in the ionosphere is very complicated from seismotraveling ionospheric disturbances (STIDS) after or during an earthquake and the disturbances are amplified in a large area of the ionosphere (Liu, 2011). Therefore the ionospheric disturbances was


over Taiwan. For comparison, some studies are stated. Drobzheva and Krasnov (2003) have used a terrestrial atmospheric model due to a chemical explosion on the ground to describe the propagation of acoustic pulses and the effects of these pulses on the ionosphere above the explosion. The results agreed well with acoustic and radio sounding data measured for the 1981 Mill Race explosion at seven different altitudes approximately from 10 to 260 km. In their work, a terrestrial atmospheric model was given but a principal eigenvalue as a mathematical indicator was not necessary to give a model to detect sensitively a weak TEC anomaly without a realistic propagation model. Jones and Spracklen (1974) and Krasnov *et al.* (2003) described and re-analyzed an explosion of unprecedented magnitude occurred at the Nypro plant at Flixborough, Lincolnshire. The information regarding the time sequence of the explosion and the amplitude of the blast wave was considered to the Mill Race experiment. However, 2DPCA was used for TEC data to detect the anomaly related to the explosion without considering the atmosphere environment and traveling of acoustic shock waves. Moreover the research topics of studies of Drobzheva and Krasnov (2003) and Jones and Spracklen (1974) are different from the topic of this study. 2DPCA is shown to be more sensitive to detect weak TEC anomaly from TEC data. Therefore 2DPCA is a careful data handling description for the topic in this study. Afraimovich *et al.* (2001) researched the acoustic shock wave due to the occurrence of earthquakes to affect ionosphere. They studied the earthquake effects in Turkey on August 17, and November 12, 1999, and in Southern Sumatra on June 4, 2000, and found that the ionospheric response related to the earthquakes due to acoustic shock waves is 180-390 s. Compared with the result of this study, 2DPCA has shown its advantage and credibility to estimate the duration time of explosion-related TEC anomaly. It is worth noting that this explosion is very huge and then it is possible to predict explosion time due to high gas release a short time before the explosion to reduce the number of dead people when the time resolution of TEC is more high.

## Conclusion


2DPCA had the advantage to detect an explosion-TEC anomaly due to acoustic shock waves. Results have shown that a local ranging TEC anomaly was detectable at 16:00 to 16:05 UT on July 31, 2014, over Taiwan. The TEC anomaly could be caused by acoustic shock waves with a duration of at least 5 minutes.



**Figure 1b.** The figures give a color-coded scale of the magnitudes of principal eigenvalues corresponding to Figure 1a. The color within a grid denotes the magnitude of a principal eigenvalue corresponding to Figure 1a, so that there are 600 principal eigenvalues assigned, respectively. The geographic coordinates of Kaohsiung city are (22°36'39"N 120°19'8"E). In these three figures, the values of the principal eigenvalues are between 0.11 and 0.93, therefore the colour bar is scaled between 0.1 and 0.95 instead of 0 and 1 in order to compare the strength of TEC anomalies with the three principal eigenvalues over Kaohsiung city. The TEC source from FORMOSAT-3 satellite system had low spatial and time resolutions, therefore the global TEC data are divided into 100 grids of 36° in longitude and 18° in latitude, respectively (Lin, 2011).



**Figure 2a.** Shows the Kp index from July 29 to 31, 2014 (Space Weather Prediction Center). The Kp index was derived by calculating a weighted average of K-index from a network of geomagnetic observatories. Kp smaller than 4 represents geomagnetic quiet time (Bartels et al, 1939). In this figure, Kp index have no better time resolution to show geomagnetic effects, and therefore the Dst index will be shown for geomagnetic effects due to better time resolution in Figure 2b.



**Figure 2b.** Shows the Dst index from July 29 to 31 (World Data Center for Geomagnetism, Kyoto). The Dst index is a measure of geomagnetic activity due to solar activity and the unit is nT (Hamilton, 1988).

**Acknowledgements**

The author is grateful to NASA Global Differential GPS system (GDGPS) for the useful references data; In Memory of deaths due to this huge explosion in Kaohsiung city, Taiwan. Especially, also to my mother, " Lo, Yu-Mei" (8 Nov 1943--9 Oct 2016).

**References**

Andreeva E.S., Gokhberg M.B., Kunitsyn V.E., Tereshchenko E.D., Khudukon B.Z., Shalimov S.L., 2001, Radiotomographical Detection of Ionosphere Disturbances Caused by Ground Explosions. *Cosmic Res.*, January 2001, 39, Issue 1, pp 10-14. Doi: 10.1023/A:1002827609677.

Afraimovich, E. L., N. P. Perevalova, A. V. Plotnikov, and A. M. Uralov, 2001, The shock-acoustic waves generated by earthquakes. *Annales Geophysicae*, 19, 395-409.

Bartels, J., N. H. Heck and H. F. Johnston, 1939, The three-hour-range index measuring geomagnetic activity, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Vol. 44 (4), 411-454, Doi: 10.1029/TE044i004p00411.

Blanc, E and A. R. Jacobson, 1989, Observation of ionospheric disturbances following a 5-kt chemical explosion 2. Prolonged anomalies and stratifications in the lower thermosphere

- after shock passage, *Radio Sci.*, 24(6), 739–746, doi:10.1029/RS024i006p00739.
- Calais, E., J. B. Minster, M. Hofton and M. Hedlin, 1998, Ionospheric signature of surface mine blasts from Global Positioning System measurements, *Geophysical Journal International*. Vol. 132, No.1, 191–202, Doi:10.1046/j.1365-246x.1998.00438.x
- Drobzheva, Ya. V and V.M. Krasnov, 2003, The acoustic field in the atmosphere and ionosphere caused by a point explosion on the ground, *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 65, 369–377, Doi:10.1016/S1364-6826(02)00141-4.
- Fares Saba, M. M., W. D. Gonzalez, A. L. clua de Gonzalez, 1997, Relationships between the AE, ap and Dst indices near solar minimum (1974) and at solar maximum (1979), *Annales Geophysicae*, Vol. 15 (10), 1265–1270, Doi: 10.1007/s00585-997-1265-x
- Hamilton, D. C., G. Gloeckler, F. M. Ipavich, W. Stüdemann, B. Wilken, and G. Kremser 1988, Ring current development during the great geomagnetic storm of February 1986, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 93 (A12), 14343–14355, doi:10.1029/JA093iA12p14343.
- Hernández-Pajares, M., J. M. Juan, J. Sanz, R. Orus, A. Garcia-Rigo · J. Feltens, A. Komjathy, S. C. Schaer and A. Krankowski, 2009, The IGS VTEC maps: a reliable source of ionospheric information since 1998. *J Geod*, 83:263–275. DOI 10.1007/s00190-008-0266-1.
- Jones, T. B. and C. T. Spracklen, 1974, Ionospheric effects of the Flixborough explosion, *Letter to Nature*, *Nature* 250, 719 - 720 (30 August 1974); Doi: 10.1038/250719a0.
- Kechine, M. O., C.C.J.M. Tiberius, H. van der Marel, 2004, Real-time kinematic positioning with NASA's Internet-based Global. Differential GPS (IGDG). Independent performance assessment of the GDGPS system for real-time kinematic positioning performed at University of Delft, The Netherlands. GNSS Conference, St. Petersburg, Russia.
- Krasnov, V. M., Ya. V. Drobzheva, J. E. S. Venart and J. Lastovicka, 2003, A re-analysis of the atmospheric and ionospheric effects of the Flixborough explosion, *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, Vol. 65, No 11–13, 1205–1212, Doi: 10.1016/j.jastp.2003.07.010.
- Lin, J. W., 2010, Ionospheric total electron content (TEC) anomalies as associated with earth quakes through Karhunen-Loève Transform (KLT). *Terr. Atmos. Ocean. Sci.*, 21, 253–265, doi: 10.3319/TAO.2009.06.11.01 (T).
- Lin, J. W., 2011, Use of principal component analysis in the identification of the spatial pattern of an ionospheric total electron content anomalies after China's May 12, 2008, M = 7.9 Wenchuan earthquake, *Advances in Space Research* 47, 1983–1989, Doi:10.1016/j.asr.2011.01.013.
- Lin, J. W., 2013, Ionospheric Anomaly due to the volcanic eruption in Colima, Mexico, 06 January 2013: Two-Dimensional Principal Component Analysis, *European Journal of Remote Sensing*, 46: 689–698, Doi: 10.5721/EuJRS20134640..
- Liu, J. Y., C. H. Chen, C. H. Lin, H. F. Tsai, C. H. Chen, and M. Kamogawa, 2011, Ionospheric disturbances triggered by the 11 March 2011 M9.0 Tohoku earthquake, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, A06319, doi: 10.1029/2011JA016761.
- Ouyang, G., J. Wang, J. Wang and D. Cole, 2008, Analysis on Temporal-Spatial Variations of Australian TEC. *International Association of Geodesy Symposia*, 2008, Volume 133, Part 4, 751–758, DOI: 10.1007/978-3-540-85426-5\_86.
- Sanguansat, P, 2012, *Principal Component Analysis– Engineering Applications*, Published by InTech, Janeza Trdine 9, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia. 300pp. ISBN 978-953-51-0182-6.