Source areas of the Acapulco-San Marcos, Mexico earthquakes of 1962 (*M* 7.1; 7.0) and 1957 (*M* 7.7), as constrained by tsunami and uplift records

M. Ortiz[1], S. K. Singh[2], V. Kostoglodov[2] and J. Pacheco[2]

[1] *Departamento de Oceanografía, CICESE, Ensenada, B.C., México*

[2] *Instituto de Geofísica, UNAM, México, D.F., México*

RESUMEN

La localización de las áreas de ruptura de los sismos de Acapulco de 1962 (11 de mayo, *M* 7.1; 19 de mayo, *M* 7.0) y del sismo de 1957 (*M* 7.7) que ocurrieron en la región de Acapulco-San Marcos es crítica para estimar las dimensiones de la zona de acoplamiento fuerte de la interfase entre las placas continental y oceánica y para evaluar posibles escenarios de ruptura en esta parte de la brecha sísmica de Guerrero. Las áreas de ruptura de estos eventos históricos no han sido determinadas con precisión a partir de datos sísmicos. La localización y las dimensiones de las rupturas se determinan a partir de los tsunamis registrados en los mareogramas de Acapulco y de Salina Cruz. Un cuidadoso análisis del mareograma de Acapulco muestra un levantamiento permanente de la costa de 15±3 cm y de 7±3 cm durante los sismos del 11 de mayo y del 19 de mayo de 1962, respectivamente. No se encontró un levantamiento permanente perceptible debido al evento del 28 julio de 1957. Para simular los tsunamis se consideraron mecanismos focales apropiados con dimensiones de ruptura (*L* y *W*), y dislocación promedio de la falla. La localización de las áreas de ruptura se investigó a prueba y error minimizando las diferencias entre los tsunamis observados y los simulados numéricamente. En el caso de los eventos de 1962 el mecanismo focal se ajustó para reproducir los levantamientos observados en la costa. Las áreas de ruptura del 11 y del 19 mayo de 1962 se localizan principalmente tierra adentro al NW y SE de Acapulco. La incertidumbre estimada en la localización de estas áreas es ±5 km. Para el sismo de 1957, la longitud de la ruptura, *L*, y sus extremos NW y SW se variaron hasta minimizar las diferencias entre la fase del tsunami observado en Acapulco y en Salina Cruz con la fase del tsunami simulado. La posición del área de ruptura se varió gradualmente con respecto a la costa hasta reproducir el patrón de resonancia del tsunami observado en Acapulco. Los resultados indican que el límite NW del área de ruptura del evento de 1957 se localiza a 30(±5) km al SE de Acapulco con una longitud, *L* = 90(±20) km. La extensión mar adentro de la ruptura es menor de ~10 km.

PALABRAS CLAVE: Terremotos, área de ruptura, tsunami, mareogramas, Sur de México.

ABSTRACT

A reliable location of the rupture areas for the earthquake doublet of 11 May 1962 (*M* 7.1) and 19 May, 1962 (*M* 7.0), and the earthquake of 1957 (*M* 7.8) in the Acapulco-San Marcos region is essential for the assessment of the width and location of the strongly-coupled patch along the plate interface and for the evaluation of possible rupture scenarios of future earthquakes in the Guerrero seismic gap. We analyze tsunamis recorded by tide gauges at Acapulco and Salina Cruz. A re-examination of the Acapulco record shows permanent uplifts of 15±3 cm and 7±3 cm during the 11 May and 19 May 1962 earthquakes, respectively. No detectable permanent uplift was found for the 28 July 1957 event. To model the tsunami records we select appropriate focal mechanisms, initial rupture dimensions (*L* and *W*), and average dislocations on the fault. By trial and error, we optimize the dimension and location of the rupture area so that the synthetic tsunami fits the residual tsunami waveform. In the case of the 1962 events, the predicted uplift is fitted to the observed uplift. The surface projection of the rupture areas of 11 and 19 May 1962 earthquakes mostly lies onshore, NW and SE from Acapulco, respectively. The estimated uncertainty in the rupture length and its offshore extension is about 5 km. For the 1957 earthquake we model the tsunami at Acapulco as well as at Salina Cruz. The results suggest that NW limit of the 1957 rupture area was 30(±5) km SE of Acapulco and *L* = 90(±20) km. The offshore extension of the rupture was less than ~10 km.

KEY WORDS: Earthquakes, rupture zone, tsunami, tide gauge records, South Mexico.

INTRODUCTION

The Guerrero seismic gap extends from about 99.0°W to 101.2°W. It may be divided in two segments: the NW segment (henceforth called the NW Guerrero gap) extending from ~100°W to 101.2°W, and the SE segment, from 99.0°W to 100.0°W (henceforth denoted as the SE Guerrero gap), roughly coinciding with the presumed rupture lengths of the July 28, 1957 (*M* 7.8[*]) and the 15 April, 1907 (*M* 7.7) earthquakes. The last sequence of large/great thrust

---

[*] Magnitude *M* has been computed from M_o using the formula: $M = (2/3) \log M_o - 10.67$. Mo for events before 1963 has been taken from Anderson *et al.* (1989), unless specified otherwise.

earthquakes, which probably ruptured the entire Guerrero gap, occurred in 1899 (*M* 7.9), 1907 (*M* 8.0), 1908 (*M* 7.5, 7.2), 1909 (*M* 7.6,7.0) and 1911 (*M* 7.6) (see, e.g., Singh *et al.* 1982; Anderson *et al.*, 1989; Singh and Mortera, 1991; Kostoglodov and Ponce, 1994, Anderson *et al.*, 1994). Since the 1957 earthquake, only two events with $M \geq 7.0$, have occurred in the Guerrero gap, both in 1962 (11 May, *M* 7.1; 19 May, *M* 7.0). These events were located near the border between the NW and the SE Guerrero gaps, close to Acapulco. The size of the 1962 events (seismic moment $M_o < 1 \times 10^{27}$ dyne·cm) was too small to fill the Guerrero gap (Anderson *et al.*, 1994).

If the average recurrence time for large, subduction earthquakes in Guerrero is of the order of 60-70 yr (Nishenko and Singh, 1987a), then both segments of the Guerrero gap should be in late ultimate mature stages. This fact emphasizes the importance of estimating the size and the location of future events in the region. An investigation of the rupture areas of previous earthquakes may provide clues to assessing the width of the strongly coupled plate interface and, therefore, the probable sizes, areas and location of future events. Unfortunately, due to poor distribution of regional seismic stations, the rupture areas of the doublet of 1962 and the 1957 event cannot be accurately mapped from seismic data alone. Thus, Merino y Coronado *et al.* (1962) located the epicenters of the 1962 doublet offshore, close to the Middle America trench, while Cruz and Wyss (1983) reported an inland location. Nishenko and Singh (1987b), and González-Ruiz and McNally (1988) analyzed the aftershocks of the 1957 earthquake, yet the rupture extent of this event remains uncertain due to poor quality of the data.

In some cases, tsunamis and coseismic sea-level changes recorded by tide gauges can be used to appraise the location and rupture dimensions of large subduction earthquakes (see, e.g., Ortiz *et al.*, 1998). In this study, we obtain new constraints on the locations and rupture dimensions of the earthquake doublet of 1962 and the 1957 event, based on a detailed analysis of tide gauge data at Acapulco and Salina Cruz.

UPLIFT OF MAY 1962 AND LONG-TERM (1952-1975) SEA LEVEL IN ACAPULCO

The vertical uplift of the sea floor at the tide gauge located in Acapulco associated with the 11 May and 19 May 1962 earthquakes and estimated from the daily mean sea level data is 22.7 cm (Grivel, 1967). This uplift was referred to the mean sea level during the periods 1952-1960 and 1963-1966. An uplift estimated by Cruz and Wyss (1983) based on the mean sea level of 10 years before and 10 years after 1962 amounted to 22 cm. It is useful, however, to separate the uplift for each of the earthquakes from the total uplift. An

analysis of the residual tide shows a permanent uplift of 15±3cm and 7±3cm during the 11 May and 19 May earthquakes, respectively. Figure 1 illustrates the residual tide obtained by subtracting the predicted tide from the observations. The uplifts are obtained from the difference of the mean-residual tide computed 24 hours before and after the earthquakes. The uncertainty is one standard deviation, corresponding to the sum of the variances of the mean before and after the earthquakes. Note that the individual uplift values of 9 cm and 8 cm during the May 11 and 19, 1962 events, respectively, reported by Cruz and Wyss (1983), is less accurate than the values estimated here. No detectable permanent uplift was found for the 28 July, 1957 event.

Godin *et al.*, (1980) reported a negative long-term sea-level trend (~ -1 cm/yr) from the analysis of Acapulco tide record (1952-1975) and attributed it to a regional tectonic uplift. However, if the shift in the reference level that occurred on 11 May (+15cm) and 19 May (+7cm) is taken into account then the resulting trend is positive (~ 0.2 cm/yr) and comparable to the trends in the tide records of San Diego, California (0.2 cm/yr) and Balboa, Panama (0.13 cm/yr). The present day average global rate of sea level rise is of the same order, 0.1 cm/yr (Wyrtki, 1990). Figure 2 illustrates the trend and the yearly mean-sea level at Acapulco before and after the correction of the reference level.

ESTIMATION OF THE RUPTURE AREAS OF THE MAY 1962 AND JULY 1957 EARTHQUAKES BY NUMERICAL MODELING OF THE TSUNAMI

The source parameters of the earthquakes are listed in Table 1. We estimated the seismic moments of the 1962 events by comparing surface waves of these earthquakes recorded on Galitzin seismograms at DeBilt with those of the 25 April 1989 earthquake. The 1989 earthquake, whose moment is 2.4×10^{26} dyne-cm (Harvard CMT catalog), was located in the nearby region of San Marcos. The estimated M_o values of the 1962 earthquakes are listed in Table 1. The seismic moment of 5.13×10^{27} dyne-cm for the 1957 event is taken from Anderson *et al.* (1989). As a first approximation, we estimated the rupture areas, A, from the relation $M = \log A + 4.0$ (Utsu and Seki, 1954; Wyss, 1979; Singh *et al.*, 1980), where A is in km². We assumed a common focal mechanism, strike = 296°, dip = 25°, rake = 90°, for all three events. This mechanism is reasonable for thrust events in the region. The rupture areas of the earthquakes are shown in Figure 3. Although the depths of the three earthquakes, listed in Table 1, differ, we have taken the shallow edge of faults to be located at a fixed depth of 12 km, and oriented parallel to the trench. Thus we assume that the geometry of the Benioff zone does not change in the region and that the seismogenic zone begins at a depth of 12 km. The depths of the earthquakes, listed in Table 1, correspond to the area of high seismic energy release. However, slip in each case is assumed to extend up to a

Fig. 1. May 1962 residual tide in Acapulco. Arrows indicate the origin time (GMT) of May 11 and May 19, 1962 earthquakes. The length of horizontal dashes indicates the time over which mean values were obtained. Mean sea level values and standard deviations are given for each horizontal line.

Fig. 2. Yearly mean sea level and linear trend computed from the tide record at Acapulco before and after the correction of the shift in the reference level caused by the doublet of 1962. The yearly mean sea level and linear trends from San Diego, California, and Balboa, Panama are shown for comparison.

constant depth of 12 km. Note that Table 1 also gives the average slip, Δu, required to explain tsunami data and, in the case of 1962 events, uplift data. For the 19 May earthquake, this slip is relatively small (30 cm) as compared to the computed slip from fault area and seismic moment (50 cm). One possible reason for this difference is a smaller average slip on the upper part of the fault, which agrees with a greater depth of the source of strong seismic energy release. We note that tsunami generation is highly sensitive to the slip on the shallow part of the fault.

Table 1

Source parameters of the earthquakes of 1962 and 1957 used in modeling of tsunami

Event	Depth[1] km	M_o 10^{27} dyne-cm	M	L km	W km	Slip[2] (Δu) cm
May 11, 1962	12	0.45	7.1	40	35	65(65)
May 19, 1962	20	0.32	7.0	35	35	50(30)
July 28, 1957	20	5.13	7.8	90	70	165(200)

[1]Depth from the analysis of Uppsala, DeBilt (from Singh *et al.* (1984)), and/or Stuttgart seismograms.
[2]Slip outside the parenthesis is computed from the relation: $M_o = \mu LW\Delta u$ with $\mu = 5 \times 10^{11}$ dyne/cm^2. The value in the parenthesis is the required slip to model the tsunami and the permanent uplift.

The vertical displacement of the sea floor was computed using the dislocation model of Mansinha and Smylie (1971). For the tsunami initial condition, the sea-level change was taken to be the same as the sea-floor uplift calculated from the dislocation model. The initial sea level change was assumed to occur at the origin time of the earthquakes.

The propagation of the tsunami was simulated by the vertically integrated shallow-water equations in a rectangular coordinate system (Pedlosky, 1979).

$$\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + gh\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial x} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + gh\frac{\partial \eta}{\partial y} = 0.$$

In (1), t is time, η is the vertical displacement of the water surface above the still water level, g is the gravitational acceleration, h is the still water depth, and U and V are the discharge fluxes in longitudinal (x) and latitudinal (y) directions. The validity of these equations for tsunami propagation is discussed by Ortiz *et al.* (1998).

Equations (1) were solved using an explicit central finite-difference scheme in a set of interconnected grids (Goto *et al.*, 1997; Liu *et al.,* 1995). In the computation, the time step was set to 1 sec, and a grid spacing of 27 sec was used for the whole region, whereas a grid spacing of 3 sec was used to describe the bottom relief of the Bays of Acapulco and Salina Cruz. An intermediate grid spacing of 9 sec was used to interconnect the computational grids. The sea bottom relief was digitized from local navigational charts (SM., 1993-1997).

The rupture area of the 11 May earthquake was constrained by the time of the first tsunami signal identified in the tide record at $\tau = 9$ minutes after the origin time of the earthquake [14:11:56.1] (marked T on Figure 4). By considering the time τ for any long wave traveling from the point of maximum uplift (which approximately occurs above the shallow edge of the fault plane) to the tide gauge along the trajectory, S, prescribed by Snell's Law, we found the distance $d = 12$ km for a wide range of trajectories.

Consequently, the projection of the rupture area should be localized roughly centered on the coast. The distance d was computed from the equation

$$d = \int_0^\tau \sqrt{gh(S)}\,dt , \tag{2}$$

where g represents the gravitational acceleration, t is time and h is the still water depth along the trajectory.

The first tsunami signal is most likely not caused by the background noise of the record (which is ~16% of the tsunami amplitude) since the synthetic 11 May tsunami (Figure 5) also shows the arrival of a small positive wave and reproduces adequately the periodic-damped oscillations seen in the record.

The background noise in the record of the 19 May tsunami (Figure 6), which is ~30% of the tsunami amplitude, makes it difficult to discriminate the first tsunami signal. However, considering the ebb in the tide record at 7.5 minutes after the origin time of the earthquake [14:58:12.8] as a positive identification of the tsunami signal, we may conclude that the rupture area is located closer to the gauge than the 11 May rupture. The synthetic waveform of the 19 May tsunami (Figure 7) starts decreasing slowly, reproducing the ebb and the periodic-damped oscillations of the recorded tsunami. In the numerical simulations, the location of the fault planes was constrained by matching the uplift predicted by the dislocation model at the tide gauge location to the uplift estimated from the tide records.

Fig. 3. a. Tectonic setting and the study area. Shaded areas annotated with a year are rupture zones of recent large thrust earthquakes. Thin arrows located inland, over the Guerrero gap indicate an approximate extent along the coastal area of the rupture of the large earthquakes in 1899, 1907, 1909, and 1911. Solid arrows are vectors of the Cocos – NA convergence velocities according to the NUVEL 1A model (DeMets *et al*., 1994). MAT – Middle America trench. Ac – location of the Acapulco leveling line. b - Rupture areas of the 1962 and 1957 earthquakes estimated by the numerical modeling of the tsunami. The tide gauge is located at the western side of the Bay of Acapulco. The line from the trench to the coast shows the trajectory, *S*, on which a barotropic wave (tsunami) takes 15 minutes to transverse. Ellipses are the isolines of modeled coseismic uplift for the 1957 earthquake. Thin lines are the isobaths annotated with the depth values in m.

Fig. 4. The May 11, 1962 tsunami as recorded at Acapulco. T indicates the first tsunami signal.

Fig. 5. The May 11, 1962 tsunami at Acapulco: Residual tide (thick line); synthetic tsunami (thin line). The origin of the time axis corresponds to the origin time of the earthquake.

Fig. 6. The 19 May 1962 tsunami as recorded at Acapulco.

The July 28, 1957 tsunami, recorded by the tide gauges in Acapulco and Salina Cruz, is shown in Figures 8 and 9, respectively.

The preliminary localization of the rupture area of the 28 July 1957 earthquake, west of Acapulco, was based on the epicenter location given by Merino y Coronado (1957). Starting with the first guess given in Table 1, the NW and SE extremes of the fault length were varied so that the initial observed and synthetic tsunami signals in Acapulco and Salina Cruz agreed with each other. The NW limit of the rupture area is 30 km SE of Acapulco while L remains 90 km. The rupture area was shifted with respect to the coastline to reproduce the resonance pattern of the observed tsunami. The estimated offshore extension of the rupture is less than 10 km. Figures 10a-c show the synthetic tsunami signals at Acapulco and Salina Cruz.

UNCERTAINTY IN THE RESULTS

At the epoch of the 1962, 1957 Guerrero earthquakes, the tide gauges in Acapulco and Salina Cruz were standard United States Coast and Geodetic Survey analog flotation-type devices, operating in a stilling well of 12 inches diameter and $3/4$ inch intake orifice. The frequency response for this particular type of gauge is such that waves with periods greater than 25 minutes and amplitudes less than 1 meter are not affected by the response of the gauges (Cross, 1968). Therefore, the 1962 and 1957 tsunami records at Acapulco and Salina Cruz need not be corrected for gauge response.

In the numerical simulations of the May 11 and May 19, 1962 tsunamis, a delay of ~2 minutes is produced by moving the fault plane by 5 km around the given location. A change of 5 km in the length of the fault plane produces an increase of ~10% in tsunami amplitude. Considering that the time uncertainty in the analog tide records is one minute (Grivel, 1967), we may estimate the uncertainty to be less than ±5 km for the location of the fault planes as well as for their lengths.

In the simulations of the July 28, 1957 tsunami, a difference of ±2 minutes in the phase of the synthetic tsunami arrival at Acapulco is produced by moving the NW limit of the rupture by ±5 km along the strike, whereas a difference of ±1 minute in the arrival time at Salina Cruz is produced by moving the SE limit by ±20 km along the strike. This sensitivity is due to the deeper region of the trench over which the tsunami propagates toward Salina Cruz. On reproducing the resonance pattern observed in the tide record, the most sensitive change in the synthetic waveform occurs by shifting the rupture area with respect to the coastline. By increasing the offshore extension of the rupture, the maximum uplift of water produces a second wave front that, after being reflected on the coast, propagates as a progressive wave superimposed on the standing resonant pattern. This second wave front is not observed in the tsunami record. Figure 10b illustrates the superimposed second wave front produced by increasing the offshore extension of the rupture 10 km from the assumed location, a distance that may be accepted as an uncertainty in the inland-offshore location of the rupture. The resonance pattern at the period of 30 minutes is produced by a standing

343

Fig. 7. The 19 May 1962 tsunami at Acapulco: Residual tide (thick line); synthetic tsunami (thin line). The origin of the time axis is taken as the origin time of the earthquake.

Fig. 8. The July 28, 1957 tsunami as recorded at Acapulco.

wave oscillating between the coast and the trench, as visualized during the simulations. Damped oscillations at the period of 30 minutes, similar to those observed on the May 11 and May 19, 1962 tsunamis, are produced by reducing the transversal length of the disturbed water surface.

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Numerical modeling of the tsunami records from the Acapulco and Salina Cruz tide gauges allowed us to constrain the rupture locations of the large earthquakes of May 11 and 19, 1962, and July 28, 1957. These areas are shown in Figure 3.

The NW extreme of the rupture area of the 1957 earthquake is located ~30 km to the SE from Acapulco. In previous estimates, this extreme extended up to Acapulco (e.g., Nishenko and Singh, 1987b, González-Ruiz and McNally, 1988). The fault areas of May 11 and 19, 1962 abut the rupture zone of the 1957 earthquake.

Fig. 9. The 28 July 1957 tsunami as recorded at Salina Cruz.

Fig. 10. **a**. The July 28, 1957 tsunami at Acapulco. Residual tide is shown as a thick line, and synthetic tsunami as a thin line. **b**. The July 28, 1957 synthetic tsunami at Acapulco produced by increasing the offshore extension of the rupture by 10 km from the assumed location. The second wave front (short wave length) appears superimposed on the standing wave. **c**. The July 28, 1957 tsunami at Salina Cruz. Residual tide is shown as a thick line, and synthetic tsunami as a thin line. In all three frames (**a-b**) the origin of the time axis is defined as the origin time of the earthquake.

Fig.11. The location of the rupture zones of 1962 events estimated in this study and the position of the locked zone inferred from the modeling of the uplift rate on the Acapulco profile. Configuration of the subduction interface is from Kostoglodov *et al.* (1996).

It is important to point out that the SW edge of the rupture area for all three earthquakes lies roughly 60 km from the axis of the Middle America Trench. This suggests that the strongly coupled plate interface in this region may not extend offshore by more than ~10 km. The numerical experiments show that the location of this boundary is tightly controlled by the observed tide gauge data, while the location of the inland boundary and the dip of the fault plane are less critical for the modeling. The position and the width of the rupture zone of the 1962 earthquakes coincides remarkably well with the location of the locked (or highly coupled) patch along the subduction interface SE of Acapulco, estimated from the modeling of the observed vertical crustal deformation. Figure 11 shows a comparison between the location of the rupture zones of the 1962 events and the position of the locked zone estimated from the modeling of the differential leveling data on the Acapulco profile (Kostoglodov *et al.*, 2000). In that study the 2D elastic dislocation model of Savage (1983) was applied to fit the observed uplift distribution along the leveling line, which is located ~8 km south from the tide gauge site in Acapulco. The model approximates the seismogenic contact between the oceanic and continental plates as a patch of the subduction interface that is locked. The uplift produced during the interseismic stage is modeled by the linear superposition of steady-state slip at the entire plate interface and supplemental solutions for normal slip on the locked patch. The uncertainty in the absolute uplift of the leveling line results in the ambiguity of the location and size of the locked patch of less then 10 km.

The agreement between the lateral location and the width of the presently locked zone and the rupture areas of 1962 probably suggests that the plate interface zone, extending from the trench to ~60 km landward, is aseismic (or poorly coupled), at least in the area SE of Acapulco. The same cannot be postulated for the NW Guerrero gap.

Several recent large earthquakes in Mexico have ruptured the plate interface, which lies entirely offshore (e.g., the earthquakes of 21 September, 1985 *M* 7.6, offshore Petatlán; 9 October, 1995 *M* 8.0, Colima-Jalisco; and 25 February, 1995 *M* 7.1, offshore Pinotepa Nacional), suggesting that the width of the coupled zone, at least in some segments, may extend almost to the trench. Based on the available data, we cannot rule out this possibility for the NW Guerrero gap.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

ANDERSON. J. G., J. N. BRUNE, J. PRINCE, R. QUAAS, S. K. SINGH, D. ALMORA, P. BODIN, M. OÑATE,

R. VASQUEZ and J. M. VELASCO, 1994. The Guerrero accelerograph network. *Geofís. Int., 33*, 341-371.

ANDERSON. J. G., S. K. SINGH, J. M. ESPINDOLA and J. YAMAMOTO, 1989. Seismic strain release in the Mexican subduction thrust. *Phys. Earth Plan. Interiors., 58,* 307-322.

CROSS, R. H., 1968. Tide Gage Frequency Response. Journal of the Waterways and Harbors Division. Proceedings of the American Society of Civil Engineers., 317-330.

CRUZ, G. and M. WYSS, 1983. Large earthquakes, mean sea level, and tsunamis along the Pacific coast of Mexico and Central America. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 73,* 553-570.

DEMETS, C., R. GORDON, D. ARGUS and S. STEIN, 1994. Effect of recent revisions to the geomagnetic reversal time scale on estimates of current plate motions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.,. 21*, 2191-2194.

GODIN, G., R. DE LA PAZ, N. RODRIGUEZ and M. ORTIZ, 1980. La marea y el nivel del mar a lo largo de la costa occidental de México. *Geofís. Int.*, *19*, 239-258.

GONZALEZ-RUIZ, J. R. and K. C. McNally, 1988. Stress accumulation and release since 1882 in Ometepec, Guerrero, Mexico: Implications for failure mechanism and risk assessments of a seismic gap. *J. Geophys. Res., 93*, 6297-6317.

GOTO, C., Y. OGAWA, N. SHUTO and F. IMAMURA 1997. IUGG/IOC TIME Project: Numerical Method of Tsunami Simulation with the Leap-Frog Scheme, Intergovernmental Oceanographic Commission of UNESCO, Manuals and Guides # 35, Paris, 4 Parts.

GRIVEL, F., 1967. Anomalies of the mean sea level at Acapulco, Gro., Mexico. *Geofís. Int.*, *7*, 53-61.

KOSTOGLODOV, V. and L. PONCE, 1994. Relationship between subduction and seismicity in the Mexican part of the Middle America trench. *J. Geophys. Res., 99*, 729-742.

KOSTOGLODOV V., W. BANDY, J. DOMINGUEZ and M. MENA, 1996. Gravity and seismicity over the Guerrero seismic gap, Mexico. *Geophys. Res. Lett., 23*, 3385-3388.

KOSTOGLODOV, V., R. VALENZUELA, A. GORBATOV, J. MIMIAGA, S. I. FRANCO, J. A. ALVARADO and R. PELAEZ, 2000. Deformation in the Guerrero seismic gap, Mexico, from leveling observations, accepted for *J. Geodesy.*

LIU, P., Y. CHO and S. SEO, 1995. Numerical Simulations of the 1960 Chilean Tsunami Propagation and Inundation at Hilo, Hawaii. Tsunami Progress in Prediction, Disaster Prevention and Warning. Y. Tsuchiya and N. Shuto (editors). Kluwer Academic Publishers.

MANSINHA, L. and E. SMYLIE, 1971. The Displacement Field of Inclined Faults. *Bull. Seimol. Soc. Am., 61*, 1433-1440.

MERINO y CORONADO, J., 1957. El Temblor del 28 de Julio de 1957. *Anal. Inst. Geofisica, UNAM.*, *3*, 89-125.

MERINO y CORONADO, J., E. SALYANO, J. J. ROSALES and M. MARTINEZ, 1962. Los temblores de Acapulco de 1962. *Anal. Inst. Geofisica, UNAM.*, *8*, 23-36.

NISHENKO S. P. and S. K. SINGH, 1987a. Conditional probabilities for the recurrence of large and great interplate earthquakes along the Mexican subduction zone. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 77*, 2096-2114.

NISHENKO S. P. and S. K. SINGH, 1987b. The Acapulco-Ometepec, Mexico, earthquakes of 1907-1982: Evidence for a variable recurrence history. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 77*, 1359-1367.

ORTIZ, M., S. K. SINGH, J. PACHECO and V. KOSTOGLODOV, 1998. Rupture length of the October 9, 1995 Colima-Jalisco earthquake (Mw 8) estimated from tsunami data. *Geophys. Res. Lett., 25*, 2857-2860.

PEDLOSKY, J., 1979. Geophysical Fluid Dynamics, 624 pp. Springer-Verlag.

SAVAGE, J. C., 1983. A dislocation model of strain accumulation and release at a subduction zone. *J. Geophys. Res., 88*, 147-157.

SINGH, S. K., E. BAZAN and L. ESTEVA, 1980. Expected earthquake magnitude from a fault. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 70,* 903-914.

SINGH, S. K., J. M. ESPINDOLA, J. YAMAMOTO and J. HAVSKOV, 1982. Seismic potential of Acapulco-San Marcos region along the Mexican subduction zone. *Geophys. Res. Lett., 9,* 633-636.

SINGH, S. K., T. DOMINGUEZ, R. CASTRO and M. RODRIGUEZ, 1984. P waveform of large, shallow

earthquakes along the Mexican subduction zone. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am., 74,* 2135-2156.

SINGH, S. K. and F. MORTERA, 1991. Source-time functions of large Mexican subduction earthquakes, morphology of the Benioff zone and the extent of the Guerrero gap. *J. Geophys. Res., 96*, 21487-21502.

S.M., 1993-1997. Navigational Charts: SM600, scale 1:707,300, Jul, 1993; SM500, scale 1:750,000. Feb., 1994; SM529, scale 1:10,000, Ene, 1996; SM628, scale 1:12,500, Nov, 1997. Secretaría de Marina de México.

UTSU, T. and A. SEKI, 1954. A relation between the area of aftershock region and the energy of main shock (in Japanese). *J. Seism. Soc. Jap.*, 7, 233-240.

WYRTKI, K., 1990. Sea level rise: The facts and the future. *Pacific Sci.*, 44, 1-16.

WYSS, M., 1979. Estimating maximum expectable magnitude of earthquakes from fault dimensions. *Geology 7*, 336-340.

―――――――――――

M. Ortiz[1], S. K. Singh[2], V. Kostoglodov[2] and J. Pacheco[2]

[1] *Departamento de Oceanografía, CICESE, Ensenada, B.C., México*
Email: ortiz@cicese.mx
[2] *Instituto de Geofísica, UNAM, México, D.F., México*